Court	of Appeal	File	No:	
	n's Bench			of 2014

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL FOR SASKATCHEWAN

Between

PROFESSIONAL CONDUCT COMMITTEE
OF THE SASKATCHEWAN COLLEGE OF PARAMEDICS
Appellant (Respondent)

- and -

TIM BODNARCHUK

Respondent (Applicant)

NOTICE OF APPEAL

TAKE NOTICE:

- 1. THAT the above named Appellant hereby appeals to the Court of Appeal from the judgment of the Honourable Mr. Justice Konkin Issued on the 13th day of August, 2014.
- 2. THAT the whole of the judgment is being appealed.
- 3. THAT the source of the Appellant's right of appeal and the court's jurisdiction to entertain the appeal is: ss. 7(2)(a) of The Court of Appeal Act, 2000.
- 4. THAT the appeal is taken upon the following grounds:
 - 1. The Discipline Committee of the Seskatchewan College of Paramedics ("Committee") found Mr. Bodnarchuk guilty of professional misconduct under s. 23, failing to comply with s. 23, and breaching s. 25(c) of The Paramedics Act, S.S. 2007, c. P-0.1.
 - 2. Mr. Bodnarchuk appealed to the Council of the Saskatchewan College of Paramedics ("Council") to pursuant to s. 36 of The Paramedics. Act. The Committe's decision and sentence was confirmed by the reviewing Council.
 - 3. Mr. Bednarchuk appealed to the Queen's Bench pursuant to s. 37 of The Paramedics Act. Mr. Justice Konkin found that the decision of the Council was not reasonable and ordered a re-hearing by the Committee.

The grounds of appeal are that the Queen's Bench judge:

- 4. Made palpable and overriding errors of fact, including conclusions that:
- (i) the ABCs is an approved protocol or that it is equivalent to the CP1 protocol;
- (ii) the patient was given a nebulizer while in the ambulance at the restaurant, when the evidence was that she was given exigen;
- (iii) Bodnarchuk could apply any protocol that he chose to apply, even if it was not a protocol, nor an approved parametrs protocol.
- (iv): Bodharchuk had complied with the "B" portion in the ABCs while still at the restaurant;
- (v) the Committee did not state that the ABCs were not an appropriate protocol, only that CP1 was a "more" appropriate protocol;
- (vi) the Committee inappropriately concluded that Bodnarchuk was not applying the ABCs protocol appropriately.
- 5. Erred in law by incorrectly interpreting and applying s. 23 and 25(c) of The Paramedics Act:
- 6. Erred in law by failing to correctly apply the standard of review of reasonableness being "whether the decision falls within a range of possible, acceptable outcomes that are defensible in respect of the facts and the law." (Dunamuir):
- 7. Eined in law and in fact by concluding that the Committee's finding that Bornarchuk did not apply the appropriate protocol in the circumstances could not form the basis for a breach of s. 23 and 25(c) of The Paramedics Act:
- 8. any further grounds as counsel may advise and as allowed by this Court.
- 5. THAT the Appellant requests the following relief:
 - (a) that the appeal be allowed:
 - (b) the decision of Konkin J. be set aside and the decision of the Committee or Council be reinstated;
 - (c) that costs be granted to the appellant.

-3-

6. THAT the Appellant's address for service is:

Reger J.F. Lepage Miller Thomson LLP 600 – 2103 11th Avenue Regine, Saskatchewan S4P 3Z8

Tel: 306-347-8300 Fax: 306-347-8350

Email: depage@millerthomson.com

The lawyer in charge of the file is: Roger J.F. Lepage

7. THAT the Appellant requests that this appeal be heard at Regina.

DATED at Regina, Saskatchewan, this 12th day of September, 2014

Roger J.F. Lepage Lawyer for the Appellant

TO:

Registrar

And to:

Respondents

T.F. (Ted) Koskie

Koskle-Helms

Suite 3, 501 Gray Avenue, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 2H8 Tel 306:242,8478 Fax 306:653-2120:

firm@koskie.com